

# MIXED HODGE THEORY FOR UNITARY LOCAL SYSTEMS

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction to Mixed Hodge Structures	1
2. Mixed Hodge Structures Arising from Quasi-projective Varieties	2
2.1. Simple Normal Crossing Divisors and Logarithmic Poles	2
2.2. Some Quasi-isomorphisms of Complexes	2
2.3. The Hodge–de Rham Complex of $(X, D)$	4
2.4. The Theory of Deligne	6
3. Mixed Hodge Theory for Unitary Local Systems	9
3.1. Canonical Extensions of Local Systems	9
3.2. Poincare Residues	10
3.3. Quasi-isomorphisms of Complexes	12
3.4. Pure Hodge Structure on $H^k(X, j_*V)$	12
3.5. Mixed Hodge Structure on $H^k(U, V)$	13
References	14

## 1. INTRODUCTION TO MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES

We all know the famous Hodge decomposition:

**Theorem 1.1** (Hodge Theorem for Kähler Manifolds). Suppose  $X$  is a compact Kähler manifold. Then we have a decomposition

$$H_{dR}^k(X; \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X).$$

Moreover, for each  $p, q$ , complex conjugate induces a  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ -linear isomorphism  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{q,p}(X)$ .

This theorem motivates the following definition of abstract Hodge structures.

**Definition.** Suppose  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. A real Hodge structure on  $V$  is a direct sum decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} V^{p,q},$$

with  $V^{q,p} = \overline{V^{p,q}}$ .

If  $R$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $V_R$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module, such that  $V \cong V_R \otimes_R \mathbb{R}$ , then we say  $V_R$  carries an  $R$ -Hodge structure.

A morphism of  $R$ -Hodge structures is an  $R$ -linear map  $V_R \rightarrow W_R$  such that its complexification sends  $V^{p,q}$  to  $W^{p,q}$ .

Given a real Hodge structure on  $V$ , denote

$$V^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} V^{p,q},$$

called the weight  $k$  part of  $V$  (note it is not a subspace of  $V$ !). If  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^{(k)}$ , then we say  $V$  carries a weight  $k$  real Hodge structure. If moreover  $V = V_R \otimes_R \mathbb{R}$ , we say  $V_R$  carries a weight  $k$   $R$ -Hodge structure. If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , we often omit  $\mathbb{Z}$  and say  $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$  carries a weight  $k$  Hodge structure.

If  $V$  carries a weight  $k$  real Hodge structure, we define the Hodge filtration to be

$$F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} V^{r,q}.$$

It is a decreasing filtration on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = F^p \oplus \overline{F^q}$  whenever  $p + q = k + 1$ . Conversely, any decreasing filtration  $F^p$  on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying this property defines a weight  $k$  real Hodge structure on  $V$  via  $V^{p,q} = F^p \cap \overline{F^q}$ , for all  $p + q = k$ .

Suppose  $V, W$  are real vector spaces with Hodge structures of weight  $k, l$ , respectively. Then we can define a Hodge filtration on  $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} W)_{\mathbb{C}}$  by

$$F^p(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} W)_{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_m F^m(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F^{p-m}(W_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

This defines a Hodge structure of weight  $k + l$  on  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} W$ . The Hodge filtration

$$F^p(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, W)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{f : V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{C}} \mid f(F^m(V_{\mathbb{C}})) \subset F^{n+p}(W_{\mathbb{C}})\}$$

defines a Hodge structure of weight  $l - k$  on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, W)$ .

One naturally asks what happens for other geometric objects, for example, quasi-projective varieties. Then one obtains a so-called mixed Hodge structure.

Let  $R$  be a noetherian subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a field (which must be  $\mathrm{Frac} R$ ). Let  $V_R$  be a finite free  $R$ -module.

**Definition.** An  $R$ -mixed Hodge structure on  $V_R$  consists of two filtrations, an increasing filtration  $W_{\bullet}$  on  $V_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}} = V_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ , called the weight filtration, and a decreasing filtration  $F^{\bullet}$  on  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V_R \otimes_R \mathbb{C}$ , called the Hodge filtration, such that  $F^{\bullet}$  induces a pure Hodge structure of weight  $k$  on each  $\mathrm{Gr}_k^W(V_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}}) = W_k/W_{k-1}$ , i.e.  $F^p(W_k/W_{k-1})_{\mathbb{C}} := \frac{F^p \cap (W_k)_{\mathbb{C}} + (W_{k-1})_{\mathbb{C}}}{(W_{k-1})_{\mathbb{C}}}$  is a Hodge filtration of weight  $k$  on  $(W_k/W_{k-1})_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

## 2. MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES ARISING FROM QUASI-PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

In this section, we explain how cohomologies of quasi-projective varieties carry a mixed Hodge structure.

**2.1. Simple Normal Crossing Divisors and Logarithmic Poles.** Suppose  $X$  is a smooth complex algebraic variety.

**Definition.** A normal crossing divisor is a divisor that locally looks like union of coordinate hyperplanes (and each component has multiplicity 1). A simple normal crossing divisor is a normal crossing divisor with smooth components.

Let  $D$  be a simple normal crossing divisor, and  $U = X \setminus D$ .

**Definition.** A holomorphic differential form on  $U$  is said to have logarithmic poles along  $D$  if  $\omega, d\omega$  have at most poles of order 1 along  $D$ .

The holomorphic differential forms on  $U$  having logarithmic poles along  $D$  constitute a subcomplex  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D) \subset j_* \Omega_U^{\bullet}$ .

If locally  $D$  is defined by  $z_1 \dots z_k = 0$ , with  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  a system of local coordinates, then locally  $\Omega_X^1(\log D) = \mathcal{O}_X \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \frac{dz_k}{z_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X dz_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X dz_n$ , and  $\Omega_X^k(\log D) = \bigwedge^k \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ .

**2.1.1. The two filtrations on the logarithmic complex.** The weight filtration on  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D)$  is defined by

$$W_m \Omega_X^p(\log D) = \begin{cases} \Omega_X^m(\log D) \wedge \Omega_X^{p-m}, & m < p \\ \Omega_X^p(\log D), & m \geq p. \end{cases}$$

The Hodge filtration on  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D)$  is equal to the trivial filtration, that is,

$$F^p = (0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^p(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{p+1}(\log D) \rightarrow \dots).$$

## 2.2. Some Quasi-isomorphisms of Complexes.

**Theorem 2.1.** The followings are quasi-isomorphic (i.e. isomorphic in the derived category):

$$\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D), j_* \Omega_U^{\bullet}, Rj_* \mathbb{C}_U, Rj_* \Omega_U^{\bullet}.$$

*Proof.*  $\Omega_U^{\bullet}$  is a resolution of  $\mathbb{C}_U$ , hence  $Rj_* \mathbb{C}_U \simeq Rj_* \Omega_U^{\bullet}$ . By Proposition 3.12 in Audoubert and Tommasi's note [AT],  $\Omega_U^{\bullet}$  is  $j_*$ -acyclic, thus  $Rj_* \Omega_U^{\bullet} \simeq j_* \Omega_U^{\bullet}$ . By Theorem 3.13 in Audoubert and Tommasi's note [AT], we have a quasi-isomorphism  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D) \rightarrow j_* \Omega_U^{\bullet}$ .  $\square$

Now we have

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \cong H^i(U, \mathbb{C}_U).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) &\cong \mathbb{H}^k(X, j_* \Omega_U^\bullet) \\ &\cong h^k(R\Gamma(j_* \Omega_U^\bullet)) \\ &\cong h^k(R\Gamma(Rj_* \Omega_U^\bullet)) \\ &\cong h^k(R(\Gamma \circ j_*) \Omega_U^\bullet) \\ &= h^k(R\Gamma(\Omega_U^\bullet)) \\ &\cong H^k(U, \mathbb{C}_U). \end{aligned}$$

□

So we can define mixed Hodge structures on  $H^k(U, \mathbb{C}_U)$  by weight and Hodge filtrations on the complex  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$ .

Suppose  $D = \sum D_i$ . For a set  $I$  of indices, denote  $D_I = \cap_{i \in I} D_i$  (as a regular submanifold of  $X$ ). Denote

$$D_m = \bigcup_{|I|=m} D_I, D^{[m]} = \bigsqcup_{|I|=m} D_I.$$

Denote  $a_m : D^{[m]} \rightarrow X$  the canonical map. It is proper with finite fibers.

By the holomorphic Poincaré lemma,  $\Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet$  is a resolution for  $\mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}$ , and hence  $(a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet$  is a resolution for  $(a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}$ . So

**Lemma 2.3.** The followings are quasi-isomorphic:

$$(a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}(0), (a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet.$$

If  $|I| = m$ , then we have a residue map

$$\text{Res}_I : \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{D_I}^\bullet(\log D(I))[-m],$$

defined by (if  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ )  $\frac{dz_{i_1}}{z_{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_{i_m}}{z_{i_m}} \wedge \omega + \omega' \mapsto \omega|_{D_I}$ , where  $\omega'$  is not divisible by  $\frac{dz_{i_1}}{z_{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_{i_m}}{z_{i_m}}$ , and  $D(I) = \sum_{j \notin I} D_I \cap D_j$ .

**Remark.** Here a choice of the orders of  $i_1, \dots, i_m$ , is involved. A different choice of the orders can lead to a sign change of  $\text{Res}_I$ . So to be more rigorous, we introduce the rank 1 local system  $\epsilon^I = \bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^I$  on  $D_I$ . Then  $\text{Res}_I$  is in fact a morphism

$$\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{D_I}^\bullet(\log D(I)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon^I[-m].$$

We also denote  $\epsilon^m$  to be the disjoint union of these local systems on  $D^{[m]}$ . Note that in the case  $D$  being a simple normal crossing divisor, the local system  $\epsilon^m$  is trivial, so we can ignore it. The  $\text{Res}_I$ 's add up to a morphism

$$\text{Res}_m : \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\log D(m)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \epsilon^m[-m].$$

Here  $D(m)$  is the divisor on  $D^{[m]}$  obtained by pulling back  $D_{m+1}$  through  $a_m : D^{[m]} \rightarrow X$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** The  $\text{Res}_I$ 's induce an isomorphism of complexes of sheaves

$$\text{Res}_m : \text{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow (a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet[-m].$$

*Proof.* See [PS08] Lemma 4.6. □

So the following are quasi-isomorphic:

$$\text{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), (a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet[-m], (a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}[-m]$$

This allows us to compute the cohomology sheaves of  $\text{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$ :

$$h^i(\text{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \cong h^i((a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}[-m]) = \begin{cases} (a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}, & i = m, \\ 0, & i \neq m. \end{cases}$$

By induction on  $m$ , one has

$$h^i(W_m \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \cong \begin{cases} (a_i)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[i]}}, & 0 \leq i \leq m, \\ 0, & i > m, \end{cases}$$

where  $0 \leq m \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ . In particular, take  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ , we get

$$h^i(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \cong (a_i)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[i]}}, 0 \leq i \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X.$$

### 2.3. The Hodge–de Rham Complex of $(X, D)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(K^\bullet, d_K)$  be a bounded below differential complex of objects in some abelian category.

- The *trivial filtration* on  $K^\bullet$  is the decreasing filtration  $\sigma^\geq$ ,

$$\sigma^{\geq p} := \{0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow K^p \longrightarrow K^{p+1} \longrightarrow \cdots\}.$$

- The *canonical filtration* on  $K^\bullet$  is the increasing filtration  $\tau_\leq$ ,

$$\tau_{\leq p} := \{K^0 \longrightarrow K^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K^{p-1} \longrightarrow \ker d_K^p \longrightarrow \cdots\}.$$

The associated graded is

$$\mathrm{Gr}_p^{\tau_\leq}(K^\bullet) = \{0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow K^{p-1}/\ker d_K^{p-1} \longrightarrow \ker d_K^p \longrightarrow \cdots\}.$$

There is a canonical map

$$\mathrm{Gr}_p^{\tau_\leq}(K^\bullet) \longrightarrow h^p(K^\bullet)[-p],$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism. Consequently,

$$h^p(\mathrm{Gr}_p^{\tau_\leq}(K^\bullet)) \cong h^p(K^\bullet).$$

If  $K^\bullet \rightarrow L^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism, then the filtered morphism  $(K^\bullet, \tau_\leq) \rightarrow (L^\bullet, \tau_\leq)$  is a *filtered quasi-isomorphism*, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Gr}_p^{\tau_\leq}(K^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_p^{\tau_\leq}(L^\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for every  $p$ .

We apply this to the logarithmic de Rham complex. The inclusion

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), \tau_\leq) \hookrightarrow (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), W)$$

is a filtered morphism, because by definition  $W_m \Omega_X^p(\log D) = \Omega_X^p(\log D)$  for  $m \geq p$ . In fact it is a filtered quasi-isomorphism, since  $\mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_\leq}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D))$  has cohomology only in degree  $m$  and

$$h^m(\mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_\leq}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D))) \cong h^m(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \cong h^m(\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)).$$

Moreover,

$$\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q.is.}} j_* \Omega_{X^*}^\bullet \implies (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), \tau_\leq) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q.is.}} (j_* \Omega_{X^*}^\bullet, \tau_\leq),$$

and

$$(j_* \Omega_{X^*}^\bullet, \tau_\leq) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q.is.}} (\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \Omega_{X^*}^\bullet, \tau_\leq) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q.is.}} (\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{C}_{X^*}, \tau_\leq).$$

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold with Kähler metric, and  $D \subset X$  a divisor with normal crossings. The *Hodge-de Rham complex*  $\mathcal{K}_{DR}^\bullet(X \log D)$  associated to the couple  $(X, D)$  is the triple:

- (1)  $\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*} := j_* \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cdm}}^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}_{X^*})$ ;
- (2)  $(\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^*}, \tau_\leq)$  with the natural map

$$\alpha : \mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_X} \mathbb{Q}_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q.is.}} \mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^*},$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves;

- (3)  $(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), W, F)$  with  $F = \sigma^\geq$ , and the following chain  $\beta$  of filtered quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (Rj_* \mathbb{Q}_U, \tau_\leq) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_X} \mathbb{C}_X \\ & & \downarrow \\ (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), \tau_\leq) & & (Rj_* \mathbb{C}_U, \tau_\leq) \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), W) & \longrightarrow & (j_* \Omega_U^\bullet, \tau_\leq) \longrightarrow (Rj_* \Omega_U^\bullet, \tau_\leq) \end{array}$$

Verdier, following Grothendieck, writes  $(\beta)$  as

$$\beta : (\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^*}, \tau_{\leq}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_X} \mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), W),$$

considering  $\beta$  as an isomorphism in the derived category of bounded below filtered complexes of sheaves of complex vector spaces (it is not in general a map of sheaves).

**Remark.** There is a strong analogy between the definition of the Hodge-de Rham complex and the general definition of a mixed Hodge structure: (1) is analogous to the choice of the lattice, (2) to the rational weight filtration, and (3) to the Hodge filtration. In fact,  $\mathcal{K}_{DR}^\bullet(X \log D)$  is what is called a *mixed Hodge complex of sheaves*.

All maps considered in  $\mathcal{K}_{DR}^\bullet(X \log D)$  are filtered morphisms. Hence they induce morphisms on the associated graded, preserving the same properties. In this way we obtain for every  $m$  the triple

$$(\mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_{\leq}} \mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*}, (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F), \mathrm{Gr}_m(\beta)),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Gr}_m(\beta) : \mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_{\leq}} \mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_X} \mathbb{C}_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D).$$

Now consider the action of the Poincaré residue map on this triple. We have

$$\mathcal{H}^m(\mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_{\leq}} \mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{C}_{X^*}) = \mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{C}_{X^*}) = \mathcal{R}^m j_* \mathbb{C}_{X^*}.$$

We already know

$$\mathcal{R}^m j_* \mathbb{C}_{X^*} = \mathbb{H}^m(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) = \mathbb{H}^m(X, \mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \xrightarrow[\text{q.i.s.}]{\mathrm{Res}_m} (a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}.$$

At the integer level we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^m j_* \mathbb{C}_U & = & h^m(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) = h^m(\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_m} (a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R^m j_* \mathbb{Z}_U & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\frac{1}{2\pi i})^m (a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}} \end{array}$$

The latter sheaf is the  $(-m)$ -th Tate twist of  $(a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}}$ :

$$(a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}}(-m) := \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^m (a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}}.$$

We now verify the commutativity of the diagram locally. Choose coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  satisfying the usual condition  $(*)$  near a point  $x \in D$ . For  $m = 1$ :

$$(\mathcal{R}^1 j_* \mathbb{C}_{X^*})_x = H^1((\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C} \frac{dz_k}{z_k},$$

$$(\mathcal{R}^1 j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*})_x = H^1((\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The first homology group is

$$H_1((\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\gamma_k,$$

where  $\gamma_j$  is a loop around the origin in  $\Delta_j = \{|z_j| < \epsilon\}$ . By the residue formula,

$$\int_{\gamma_j} \frac{dz_j}{z_j} = 2\pi i.$$

Hence the classes  $\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz_j}{z_j} \right\}_{j=1, \dots, k}$  represent integral cohomology classes; they form the dual basis over  $\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ . This proves the claim for  $m = 1$ .

For general  $m$  the argument is analogous. The Poincaré residue map induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}_m : \mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_{\leq}} (\mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*}) \longrightarrow (a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}}[-m](-m).$$

Consequently we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}_m : (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F) \xrightarrow{\sim} ((a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet[-m], F[-m]).$$

Moreover,  $\mathrm{Res}_m$  also gives a map corresponding to  $\mathrm{Gr}_m(\beta)$ , because

$$(a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}}[-m](-m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_X} \mathbb{C}_X \cong (a_m)_* \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}[-m] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_m} (a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet[-m].$$

Summarizing, the Poincaré residue map gives a map of triples

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau \leq} \mathcal{R}^\bullet j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*}, (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), F), \mathrm{Gr}_m(\beta)) \\ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_m} \\ ((a_m)_* \mathbb{Z}_{D^{[m]}[-m]}(-m), ((a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet[-m], F[-m]), \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}[0] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q.i.s.}} \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet), \end{array}$$

consisting of maps that are isomorphisms in the derived category.

**2.4. The Theory of Deligne.** The general theory of Deligne deals with a complex  $K^\bullet$  endowed with two filtrations  $W$  and  $F$ . It considers the spectral sequence associated to the filtered complex  $(K^\bullet, W)$  and investigates how the filtration  $F$  induces a filtration there. This study reveals abstract properties ensuring that various hypercohomology groups of a mixed Hodge complex of sheaves yield a mixed Hodge structure. A general principle is then as follows: In order to get a mixed Hodge structure on hypercohomology of some geometric object, it suffices to construct a suitable mixed Hodge complex of sheaves on this object.

**2.4.1. Integral Hodge complex of sheaves.** We will see now that the structures naturally arisen in the previous section are special cases of more general concepts.

**Definition** ([AT], [PS08]). A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of weight  $m$  is the given of the following:

- (1) A bounded below complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  such that  $\mathrm{rank} H^k(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet) < \infty$  for all  $k$ .
- (2) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces equipped with a decreasing filtration  $F$  and a comparison morphism

$$\alpha : K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet,$$

which is an isomorphism in the derived category.

The data  $(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet, (K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F))$  have moreover to satisfy the following condition, called Hodge completion axiom:

- (HC) (i)  $\forall k \geq 0$ ,  $(H^k(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet), (H^k(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet), F))$  is an integral Hodge structure of weight  $k + m$ .  
(ii) the spectral sequence of  $(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F)$  degenerates at  $E_1$  (equivalently, the differential of the complex  $K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$  is strictly compatible with the filtration  $F$ ).

**Definition** ([AT], [PS08]). A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves on  $X$  of weight  $m$ , where  $X$  is a topological space, is the given of the following:

- (1) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  of sheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on  $X$ , such that  $\mathrm{rank} \mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet) < \infty$  for all  $k$ .
- (2) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$  of sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces, equipped with a decreasing filtration  $F$  and a comparison morphism

$$\alpha : K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet,$$

which is an isomorphism in the derived category.

The data  $(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet, (K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F))$  have to satisfy the following condition (Hodge completion axiom for complexes of sheaves):

- (HCS) (i)  $(\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet), (\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet), F))$  is an integral Hodge structure of weight  $k + m$ .  
(ii) the spectral sequence of  $(\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet), F)$  degenerates at  $E_1$ .

**Remark.** There is a natural relation between the two definitions:

$$(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet, (K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F), \alpha) \xrightarrow{\text{functor}} (\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet), (\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet), F), \mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \alpha))$$

because, by definition,  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet) = H^k \mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet)$ ,  $K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cdm}}^\bullet(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet)$  and  $\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cdm}}^\bullet(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet))$ . Here  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cdm}}^\bullet(-))$  is an exact functor. Then  $\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet)$  is filtered by  $\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, F^p K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet)$ .

**Remark.** As in the case of mixed Hodge structures, we can define  $\mathbb{Q}$ - (respectively,  $\mathbb{R}$ - or  $\mathbb{C}$ -) Hodge complexes and complexes of sheaves by substituting  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the definition with  $\mathbb{Q}$  (respectively,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

**Example.** Let  $X$  be a complex compact Kähler manifold. The Hodge-de Rham complex of sheaves on  $X$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{DR}^\bullet(X) = (\mathbb{Z}_X[0], (\Omega_X^\bullet, \sigma^{\geq}), \mathbb{C}_X[0] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^\bullet).$$

(The inclusion  $\mathbb{C}_X[0] \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism by the holomorphic Poincaré lemma.)  $\mathcal{K}_{DR}^\bullet(X)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight 0. Let us verify the axiom (HCS).

We have to prove that the filtration

$$F^p \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) := \text{im}(\mathbb{H}^k(X, \sigma^{\geq p} \Omega_X^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet))$$

is a Hodge filtration on  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$ . Consider the spectral sequence of  $(\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^\bullet), \sigma^{\geq})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q} &= \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \text{Gr}_\sigma^{\geq} \Omega_X^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \Omega_X^p[p]) = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \cong H^{p,q}(X), \\ E_2^{p,q} &= \text{Gr}_p^F \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) = \text{Gr}_p^F H_{DR}^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \dim E_1^{p,q} = \sum_{p+q=k} \dim H^{p,q}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \sum_{p+q=k} \dim E_2^{p,q}.$$

This implies that  $E_1$  and  $E_\infty$  have the same dimension; hence the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ . This is equivalent to the map  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \sigma^{\geq p} \Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$  being injective  $\forall k$ . (See Lemma 4.13 below.)

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $(K^\bullet, F, d)$  be a bounded below complex with differential  $d : K^\bullet \rightarrow K^{\bullet+1}$  and a decreasing filtration  $F$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ ;
- (2) the map  $H^k(F^p K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^k(K^\bullet)$  is injective for all  $k$ ;
- (3)  $d$  is strictly compatible with the filtration  $F$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet = (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet, (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F), \alpha)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight  $m$  on  $X$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the  $n$ -th Tate twist of  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}^\bullet(n) = (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet(n), (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F[n]), \alpha)$$

by taking  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet(n) := (2\pi i)^n \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  and the usual shifted filtration  $(F[n])^p = F^{p+n}$ . The effect of the Tate twist on the hypercohomology is

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet(n)) = \mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet)(n) = \mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(n),$$

where  $\mathbb{C}(n) := (2\pi i)^n \mathbb{C}$  is the Tate-Hodge structure on  $\mathbb{C}$  of weight  $-2n$ . Thus  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet(n)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight  $m - 2n$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet = (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet, (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, F), \alpha)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight  $m$  on  $X$ . Then for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the shift of  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$  by  $r$  as

$$\mathcal{K}^\bullet[r] := (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet[r], (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[r], F), \alpha).$$

In this case  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet[r]) = \mathbb{H}^{k+r}(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet)$  and  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[r]$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight  $m + r$ .

#### 2.4.2. Integral mixed Hodge complex of sheaves.

**Definition** ([AT], [PS08]). A mixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex is the given of the following:

- (1) A bounded below complex of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$ , with rank  $H^k(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet) < \infty$ .
- (2) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces equipped with an increasing filtration  $W$  and a comparison morphism

$$\alpha : K_{\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet,$$

which is an isomorphism in the derived category.

- (3) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces equipped with an increasing filtration  $W$ , a decreasing filtration  $F$  and a comparison morphism

$$\beta : (K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet, W) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} (K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet, W),$$

which is a map in the derived category such that for all  $m$  the induced map

$$\text{Gr}_m^W(\beta) : \text{Gr}_m^W(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_m^W(K_{\mathbb{C}}^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category.

The data  $(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}, (K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}, W), \alpha, (K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}, W, F), \beta)$  have moreover to satisfy the following condition:

$$(*) \quad \forall m, \quad (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}), (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W(K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}), F), \mathrm{Gr}_m^W(\beta))$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of weight  $m$ .

**Definition** ([AT], [PS08]). A mixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves on a topological space  $X$  is the given of the following:

- (1) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}$  of sheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules on  $X$ , such that  $\mathrm{rank} \mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}) < \infty$ .
- (2) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}$  of sheaves of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces on  $X$  equipped with an increasing filtration  $W_{\bullet}$  and a comparison morphism

$$\alpha : K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_X} \mathbb{Q}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet},$$

which is an isomorphism in the derived category.

- (3) A bounded below complex  $K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}$  of sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $X$  equipped with an increasing filtration  $W$ , a decreasing filtration  $F$  and a comparison morphism

$$\beta : (K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}, W) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_X} \mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} (K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}, W),$$

which is a map in the derived category such that for all  $m$  the induced map

$$\mathrm{Gr}_m^W(\beta) : \mathrm{Gr}_m^W(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_X} \mathbb{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}_m^W(K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet})$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category.

The data  $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}, (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}, W), \alpha, (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}, W, F), \beta)$  have moreover to satisfy the following condition:

$$(**) \quad \forall m, \quad (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}), (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}), F), \mathrm{Gr}_m^W(\beta))$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight  $m$ .

**Example.** Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold, and  $D \subset X$  a normal crossing divisor. Then the Hodge-de Rham complex associated to  $(X, D)$  is

$$\mathcal{K}_{DR}^{\bullet}(X \log D) = (\mathcal{R}^{\bullet} j_* \mathbb{Z}_{X^*}, (\mathcal{R}^{\bullet} j_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^*}, \tau_{\leq}), \alpha, (\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D), W, F), \beta),$$

where  $X^* = X - D$  and  $j : X^* \hookrightarrow X$ . As was shown in Section 3.1, the Poincaré residue map gives a map of triples:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathrm{Gr}_m^{\tau_{\leq}} \mathcal{R}^{\bullet} j_* \mathbb{Q}_{X^*}, (\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D), F), \mathrm{Gr}_m(\beta)) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Res}_m \end{array}$$

$$((a_m)_* \mathbb{Q}_{D^{[m]}}[-m](-m), ((a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{\bullet}[-m], F[-m]), \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}[0] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{\bullet})$$

constituted by maps that are isomorphisms in the derived category. By Deligne's results, the collection

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{D^{[m]}}[0], (\Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{\bullet}[0], F), \mathbb{C}_{D^{[m]}}[0] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{\bullet})$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight 0. (Recall that  $D^{[m]}$  is compact Kähler.) This implies that  $\mathrm{Gr}_m^W \mathcal{K}_{DR}^{\bullet}(X \log D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves of weight  $-m + 2m = m$ .

### 2.4.3. The fundamental theorem of Deligne.

**Theorem 2.6** (Deligne, Fundamental Theorem). Let  $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}, (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}, W), \alpha, (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}, W, F), \beta)$  be a mixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex of sheaves on a topological space  $X$ . Set

$$K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet} := \mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}), \quad K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet} := \mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}), \quad K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet} := \mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}),$$

and denote again by  $F, W$  the induced filtrations and by  $\alpha, \beta$  the induced comparison morphisms. Then we have:

- (1) The triple  $(K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}, (K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}, W), \alpha, (K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}, W, F), \beta)$  is a mixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Hodge complex.
- (2) The filtrations  $\mathrm{Dec} W$ , defined as  $W[k]$  on the cohomology in degree  $k$ , and  $F$  induce a mixed Hodge structure on  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet})$ . In fact we can say:
  - (a) The filtration  $W[k]$  on  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet})$  and the filtration induced by  $F$  on  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet})$  define a mixed Hodge structure on  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, K_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet})$ .
  - (b) The first differential of the spectral sequence associated to  $(\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}), W)$  is strictly compatible with the filtration induced by  $F$  on  $E_1(\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}), W)$ .
  - (c) The spectral sequence  $E_r(\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\bullet}), W)$  degenerates at  $E_2$ .

(d) The spectral sequence  ${}_F E_r$  associated to  $(\mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}), F)$ ,

$${}_F E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}),$$

degenerates at  ${}_F E_1$ . Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, F^p \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet})$$

is always injective, and  $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet})$ .

(e) The spectral sequence  $E_r(\mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathcal{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}), W)$  degenerates at  $E_2$ .

(3) Any morphism of mixed Hodge complexes of sheaves on  $X$  induces a morphism of mixed Hodge structures on the hypercohomology groups.

If we apply the theorem to  $\mathcal{K}_{DR}^{\bullet}(X \log D)$ , then we get the mixed Hodge structures on each  $H^k(U)$ .

#### 2.4.4. A useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.7** ([PS08] Lemma A.42). Let  $(K^{\bullet}, F)$  be a differential complex equipped with a biregular filtration. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) the differential  $d_K$  of  $K^{\bullet}$  is strictly compatible with  $F$ , i.e.,  $\forall p, n$ ,

$$d_K(F^p(K^n)) = \mathrm{Im}(d_K) \cap F^p(K^{n+1}).$$

(2)  $\forall p, n$ , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(F^p(K)) \rightarrow H^n(K) \rightarrow H^n(K/F^p K) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(3) the spectral sequence  $E_r(K^{\bullet}, F)$  degenerates at  $E_1$ .

### 3. MIXED HODGE THEORY FOR UNITARY LOCAL SYSTEMS

Let  $X$  be a compact Kahler manifold with simple normal crossing divisor  $D$ ,  $U = X - D$ ,  $V$  a unitary local system on  $U$ . That is,  $V$  is a complex local system on  $U$  endowed with a Hermitian inner product  $V \otimes \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Denote  $D_m =$  union of  $m$ -fold intersections of irreducible components of  $D$ ,  $D^{[m]} =$  normalization of  $D_m$ . Denote  $a_m : D^{[m]} \rightarrow X$ , and let  $D(m) = a_m^{-1}(D_{m+1})$ . We want to construct a mixed Hodge structure on the cohomologies  $H^k(U, V)$ . We will follow [Tim87].

First we want to replace  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D)$  in the previous case by “ $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$ ”, but since  $V$  is only a local system on  $U$ , this needs some careful construction. Luckily, this was explained in [Del70].

**3.1. Canonical Extensions of Local Systems.** The goal of this subsection is to construct an extension of  $V$  to a holomorphic vector bundle with connection  $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla)$  on  $X$ , with at most logarithmic poles along  $D$ . This extension we are going to construct is called the *canonical extension* of  $V$ .

We first consider a local model, that is, assume that  $X$  is a polydisc  $\Delta^{n+m}$  with coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n+m})$  and that

$$D = \{z_1 \cdots z_n = 0\}, \quad X^* = (\Delta^*)^n \times \Delta^m.$$

The fundamental group  $\pi_1(X^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$  is generated by loops  $\gamma_i$  around  $\{z_i = 0\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the monodromy transformation of  $V$  along  $\gamma_i$ . The  $T_i$  commute.

**3.1.1. Canonical extension for a unipotent local system.** Assume first that all  $T_i$  are *unipotent*. Then we can define

$$U_i = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log T_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} (I - T_i)^k.$$

Set  $\mathcal{M}$  to be the trivial vector bundle  $\mathbb{C}^r \times X$  (where  $r = \mathrm{rk} V$ ) with the connection

$$\nabla = d + \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}.$$

This connection is integrable because the  $U_i$  commute. Its horizontal sections are

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n (\log z_i) U_i\right) \cdot v_0,$$

which are single-valued on the universal cover and, due to nilpotence, are polynomials in the  $\log z_i$ . In particular they grow at most like  $(\log \|x\|)^k$  near  $D$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  extends  $V$  (the restriction to  $X^*$  is isomorphic to the original bundle) and has a logarithmic pole along  $D$ .

3.1.2. *General case.* Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be a tuple of complex numbers, regarded as a representation  $\lambda : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Let  $U_\lambda$  be the rank 1 local system on  $X^*$  with monodromy  $\lambda_i$  around  $\gamma_i$ . Its canonical extension (which is just a line bundle) can be chosen as follows: pick a determination of the logarithm, say  $\log_\tau(x) = 2\pi i\tau(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\log(x))$  with  $\tau$  a section of  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$  (we may choose  $\tau$  so that  $0 \leq \Re(\tau) < 1$ ). Set  $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\log_\tau \lambda_i$ . Then the connection

$$d - \sum_i \alpha_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}$$

defines a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  on  $X$  with logarithmic poles. This is the canonical extension of  $U_\lambda$ .

Now any local system  $V$  admits a unique decomposition into generalized eigenspaces of the commuting monodromies:

$$V \cong \bigoplus_\lambda U_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda,$$

where  $V_\lambda$  is a unipotent local system (all eigenvalues 1). Applying the unipotent construction to each  $V_\lambda$ , we obtain vector bundles with flat connections  $(\mathcal{M}_\lambda, \nabla_\lambda)$  extending  $V_\lambda$ . The canonical extension of  $V$  is defined to be

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_\lambda \mathcal{L}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{M}_\lambda.$$

The extension is unique in the following sense: if two extensions  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of  $V$  to  $X$  satisfy that the horizontal sections (and their duals) have at most logarithmic growth (i.e.  $\mathcal{O}((\log \|x\|)^k)$ ), then the identity map on  $X^*$  extends to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_1 \cong \mathcal{M}_2$ . This is proved by comparing the identity map as a section of  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$ : the growth condition forces it to be holomorphic across  $D$ . For details, see [Del70] Section II.5. Now since the construction is local (on coordinate charts where  $D$  is a union of coordinate hyperplanes), the uniqueness allows us to glue the local extensions to a global one on  $X$ . Therefore the canonical extension exists globally.

With the canonical extensions, one can define

**Definition** (Hodge filtration on  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ ).  $F^p \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^p(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^n(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

But the definition of weight filtrations needs more preliminary constructions.

3.2. **Poincare Residues.** Define

$$V_m = j_* V|_{D_m \setminus D_{m+1}}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** (i)  $V_m$  is a unitary local system on  $D_m \setminus D_{m+1}$ .

(ii) There exists a unique sub-vector bundle  $\mathcal{M}_m$  of  $a_m^* \mathcal{M}$  and a unique holomorphic integrable connection  $\nabla_m$  on  $\mathcal{M}_m$  with logarithmic poles along  $D(m)$  such that

$$\ker \nabla_m|_{D^{[m]} \setminus D(m)} = a_m^{-1} V_m.$$

(iii) On  $D^{[m]}$ ,  $(\mathcal{M}_m, \nabla_m)$  is the canonical extension of  $a_m^{-1} V_m$ .

(iv) There exists a unique sub-vector bundle  $\mathcal{M}_m^*$  of  $a_m^* \mathcal{M}$  with

$$a_m^* \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_m \oplus \mathcal{M}_m^*$$

such that for any  $x \in D^{[m]} \setminus D(m)$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{m,x}^* = a_m^* \{\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{a_m(x)} : (\sigma, \tau) = 0, \forall \tau \in (j_* V)_{a_m(x)}\}.$$

*Proof.* The Hermitian form on  $j_* V$  is inherited from the one on  $V$ . So for (1) it suffices to show  $V_m$  is a local system on  $D_m \setminus D_{m+1}$ . The uniqueness assertions in (2), (4) are clear, so it suffices to prove the proposition locally, that is, for  $X = \Delta^n$ ,  $D = \pi_1^{-1}(0) \cup \dots \cup \pi_s^{-1}(0)$ . Denote  $D_j = \pi_j^{-1}(0)$ . Denote by  $T_i$  the monodromy operator along the loop that encircles  $D_i$ . Since  $\pi_1(X \setminus D)$  is abelian, and  $V$  is unitary, we can diagonalize all  $T_i$  simultaneously. So we have

$$V|_{X \setminus D} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V^i|_{X \setminus D},$$

with all  $V^i$  being rank 1 local systems. Suppose  $T_j$  acts on  $V^i$  by  $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , then  $|\gamma_{ij}| = 1$ . If some  $\gamma_{ij} = 1$ , then  $T_j$  acts by id on  $V^i$ , and we can extend the local system  $V^i$  over  $D_j$ .

By construction of the canonical extension, we have

$$(\mathcal{M}, \nabla) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\mathcal{M}^i, \nabla^i),$$

where  $(\mathcal{M}^i, \nabla^i)$  is the canonical extension of  $V^i$ .

(i) Let us consider the local behaviour of  $j_* V^i$  near  $y \in D_m \setminus D_{m+1}$ . Suppose  $y \in D_{j_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{j_m}$ . Take a small neighbourhood  $\Delta_y$  of  $y$ , so that

$$\Delta_y \setminus D \cong (\Delta^*)^m \times \Delta^{n-m}.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad j_* V^i(\Delta_y) = V^i(\Delta_y \setminus D) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & T_{j_1}, \dots, T_{j_m} \text{ act by identity, i.e. } \gamma_{i,j_1} = \cdots = \gamma_{i,j_m} = 0 \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

So

$$V_m|_{\Delta_y} = j_* V|_{(D_m \setminus D_{m+1}) \cap \Delta_y} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r j_* V^i|_{(D_m \setminus D_{m+1}) \cap \Delta_y}$$

is a constant sheaf, and  $V_m$  is a local system.

(ii) By (1) we can write

$$V_m = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ \gamma_{i,j_1} = \cdots = \gamma_{i,j_m} = 1}} j_* V^i|_{(D_m \setminus D_{m+1})}.$$

Thus

$$(a_m^{-1} V_m)|_{D_J \setminus D(J)} = a_m^{-1} \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ \gamma_{i,j_1} = \cdots = \gamma_{i,j_m} = 1}} j_* V^i|_{(D_J \setminus D(J))} \right).$$

So we define

$$\mathcal{M}_m|_{D_J} = a_m^* \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ \gamma_{i,j_1} = \cdots = \gamma_{i,j_m} = 1}} \mathcal{M}^i|_{D_J} \right).$$

The connection  $\nabla^i$  on  $\mathcal{M}^i$  has logarithmic singularities along  $D_j$  (with  $\gamma_{ij} \neq 1$ ). So the connection  $\nabla_m$  on  $\mathcal{M}_m$  induced by the  $\nabla^i$ 's has logarithmic singularities along  $D(J)$ . By construction,

$$\ker \nabla_m|_{D_J \setminus D(J)} = (a_m^{-1} V_m)|_{D_J \setminus D(J)}.$$

(iii) is clear from (ii).

(iv) Suppose  $x \in D_J \subset D^{[m]}$ . Then we have

$$\{\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{a_m(x)} : (\sigma, \tau) = 0, \forall \tau \in (j_* V)_{a_m(x)}\} = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ \gamma_{i,j_l} \neq 1 \text{ for some } l}} \mathcal{M}_{a_m(x)}^i.$$

So define

$$\mathcal{M}_m^*|_{D_J} = a_m^* \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ \gamma_{i,j_l} \neq 1 \text{ for some } l}} \mathcal{M}^i|_{D_J} \right).$$

□

Now we define  $\text{Res}_m(\mathcal{M}) : \Omega_X^q(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (a_m)_*(\Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{q-m}(\log D(m)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D^{[m]}}} \mathcal{M}_m)$  as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_X^q(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow (a_m)_* \Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{q-m}(\log D(m)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \\ &= (a_m)_* (\Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{q-m}(\log D(m)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D^{[m]}}} a_m^* \mathcal{M}) \\ &\rightarrow (a_m)_* (\Omega_{D^{[m]}}^{q-m}(\log D(m)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D^{[m]}}} \mathcal{M}_m). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\text{Res}_m(\mathcal{M}) \circ \nabla = \nabla_m \circ \text{Res}_m(\mathcal{M})$ .

**Definition** (Weight filtration on  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ ). For  $m < 0$ , set  $W_m(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}) = 0$ . For  $m \geq 0$ , define

$$W_m(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}) := \ker \text{Res}_{m+1}(\mathcal{M}).$$

From the preceding, we clearly have the following local description of  $W_m(\Omega_X^q(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})$  for a local system of rank 1: If  $\Delta \subseteq X$  is a polycylinder with coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  such that  $D \cap \Delta$  is given by  $z_1 \cdots z_s = 0$ , and if  $T_j$  is the monodromy transformation of  $V|_{\Delta \setminus D}$  around  $\{z_j = 0\}$ , then  $W_m(\Omega_X^q(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})|_\Delta$  is generated over  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$  by  $\Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{M}|_\Delta$  and by all terms of the form

$$\frac{dz_{j_1}}{z_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{j_k}}{z_{j_k}} \wedge dz_{j_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{j_q} \otimes \mu$$

where  $\mu \in \Gamma(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $k \leq q$ ,  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq s < j_{k+1} < \cdots < j_q \leq n$ , and for at most  $m$  indices  $\kappa$  with  $1 \leq \kappa \leq k$  we have  $T_{j_\kappa} = 1$ .

### 3.3. Quasi-isomorphisms of Complexes.

**Proposition 3.3.**  $\text{Res}_m(\mathcal{M})$  induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}) \cong (a_m)_*(\tilde{\Omega}_{D[m]}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m))[-m].$$

**Proposition 3.4.** The inclusion map

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), \tau_{\leq}) \rightarrow (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), W_\bullet)$$

is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.5.**  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M} \simeq Rj_*V$ .

*Proof.*  $V$  admits a resolution  $\Omega_U^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \cong \Omega_U^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{M}|_U$ . So  $Rj_*V \simeq Rj_*(\Omega_U^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{M}|_U) \simeq j_*(\Omega_U^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{M}|_U)$ . By [Del70] Chapter II, Corollary 3.14, we have a quasi-isomorphism  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow j_*(\Omega_U^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{M}|_U)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** For any  $m$ ,  $\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})$  and  $R^m j_*V[-m]$  are quasi-isomorphic. Moreover,  $R^m j_*V \cong (j_m)_*V_m$ , where  $j_m : D_m \setminus D_{m+1} \rightarrow X$ .

*Proof.* Here we only prove the first statement. We have  $\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Gr}_m^{\tau_{\leq}}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}) \simeq h^m((\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})[-m]) \cong R^m j_*V[-m]$ .  $\square$

In particular, taking  $m = 0$  we see  $\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{M}) := W_0\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$  and  $j_*V$  are quasi-isomorphic.

By the results above, the following are quasi-isomorphic:

$$\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}), (a_m)_*(\tilde{\Omega}_{D[m]}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m))[-m], R^m j_*V[-m], (j_m)_*V_m[-m].$$

If we consider  $A$ -structures, with  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  noetherian such that  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a field, and assume  $V$  is  $A$ -unitary, that is,  $V$  is induced from an  $A$ -local system  $V_A$  with symmetric positive-definite bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$  by  $V \cong V_A \otimes_A \mathbb{C}$ , with Hermitian inner product given by  $(v \otimes c, w \otimes c') = \overline{cc'}(v, w)_A$ . Then  $V_m$  are all  $A$ -unitary, and

**Lemma 3.7.** The isomorphism  $R^m j_*V \rightarrow (j_m)_*V_m$  maps  $R^m j_*V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}$  to

$$(j_m)_*V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(-m) := (2\pi i)^{-m}(j_m)_*V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}} \subset (j_m)_*V_m.$$

**3.4. Pure Hodge Structure on  $H^k(X, j_*V)$ .** Denote by  $F^\bullet$  the Hodge filtration on  $H^k(X, j_*V)$ , induced by the Hodge filtration on  $\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ . Let  $\bar{F}^\bullet$  be the lift under the conjugation map  $H^k(X, j_*V) \rightarrow H^k(X, j_*V^\vee)$  of the Hodge filtration on  $H^k(X, j_*V^\vee)$ , and let

$$H^{p,q}(X, j_*V) := (F^p \cap \bar{F}^p)H^{p+q}(X, j_*V).$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold,  $D \subseteq X$  a simple normal crossing divisor,  $U := X \setminus D$ ,  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$  the inclusion map,  $V$  a unitary local system on  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  the canonical extension of  $V$ .

(i) The spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X^p(\mathcal{M})) \implies H^{p+q}(X, j_*V)$$

degenerates at  $E_1$ .

(ii) The maps  $H^{p,q}(X, j_*V) \rightarrow H^q(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X^p(\mathcal{M}))$  are isomorphisms, and

$$H^k(X, j_*V) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X, j_*V).$$

(iii) The conjugation map induces conjugate linear isomorphisms

$$H^q(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X^p(\mathcal{M})) \cong H^p(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X^q(\mathcal{N}))$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the canonical extension of  $V^\vee$ .

**3.5. Mixed Hodge Structure on  $H^k(U, V)$ .** Now we can finally tackle our main problem for this section. Let us first define the Hodge and weight filtrations on  $H^k(U, V)$ :

**Definition.**

$$F^p H^k(U, V) := \text{im} \left( \mathbb{H}^k(X, F^p(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}) = H^k(U, V) \right);$$

For the weight filtration, one uses a shift by  $k$ :

$$W_{m+k} H^k(U, V) := \text{im} \left( \mathbb{H}^k(X, W_m(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}) = H^k(U, V) \right).$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the definitions and the description of the weight filtration on  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ :

**Lemma 3.9.** (i)  $W_i H^k(U, V) = 0$  for  $i < k$ .

(ii)  $W_k H^k(U, V) = \text{im}(H^k(X, j_* V) \rightarrow H^k(U, V))$ .

(iii) Let  $m_0$  be the largest  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there exist  $x \in X$  and  $m$  local components of  $D$  through  $x$  such that the monodromy of  $V$  around these components has an eigenvalue 1. Then

$$W_{k+m_0} H^k(U, V) = H^k(U, V).$$

We now assume that  $V$  is  $A$ -unitary with  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Our aim is to prove:

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold,  $D \subseteq X$  a simple normal crossing divisor,  $U := X \setminus D$ . Let  $V$  be an  $A$ -unitary local system on  $U$ , with a subring  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Hodge and weight filtrations on  $H^k(U, V)$  give a mixed Hodge structure on  $H^k(U, V_A)$ .

By Theorem 2.6, it suffices to show

**Proposition 3.11.**

$$(Rj_* V_A, (Rj_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}, \tau), (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}, F^\bullet, W_\bullet))$$

is a mixed  $A$ -Hodge complex of sheaves.

*Proof.* We have  $(Rj_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}, \tau_\leq) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong (Rj_* V_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau_\leq) \simeq (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}, \tau_\leq) \simeq (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}, W_\bullet)$ . So it remains to show that

$$(\text{Gr}_m^{\tau_\leq}(Rj_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}), (\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}), F^\bullet))$$

is an  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of weight  $m$ , i.e. the spectral sequence associated to the filtration of the second complex degenerates at  $E_1$ , and the induced filtration on

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, \text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M})) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(X, \text{Gr}_m^{\tau_\leq}(Rj_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}})) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

defines a pure  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of weight  $m + k$  on  $\mathbb{H}^k(\text{Gr}_m^W(Rj_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}))$ .

We have

$$\text{Gr}_m^{\tau_\leq}(Rj_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}) \simeq R^m j_* V_{A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}[-m] \simeq (j_m)_* V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(-m)[-m].$$

For the second complex, we use the isomorphism in Proposition 3.3:

$$\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}) \cong (a_m)_*(\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m))[-m].$$

$$(\text{Gr}_m^W(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{M}), F^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_m(\mathcal{M})} ((a_m)_*(\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m)), \tilde{F}^\bullet)[-m],$$

where  $\tilde{F}^\bullet(\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m))$  is given by

$$\tilde{F}^p(\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^q(\mathcal{M}_m)) = \begin{cases} 0, & q + m < p \\ \tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^q(\mathcal{M}_m), & q + m \geq p. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have to show that

$$\left( (j_m)_* V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(-m)[-m], ((a_m)_*(\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m)), \tilde{F}^\bullet)[-m] \right)$$

is an  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of weight  $m$ . This follows if

$$\left( (j_m)_* V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}, ((a_m)_* (\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m)), F^\bullet) \right)$$

(with  $F^\bullet$  the usual Hodge filtration) is an  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of weight 0. Write  $(j_m)_* V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}} = (a_m)_* (\tilde{j}_m)_* (a_m)^{-1} V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $\tilde{j}_m : D^{[m]} \setminus D(m) \rightarrow D^{[m]}$ . Then it suffices to show

$$\left( (\tilde{j}_m)_* (a_m)^{-1} V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}, (\tilde{\Omega}_{D^{[m]}}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_m), F^\bullet) \right)$$

is an  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge complex of weight 0. But this just follows from Theorem 3.8, applied to  $D^{[m]}$  and the local system  $(a_m)^{-1} V_{m, A \otimes \mathbb{Q}}$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [AT] Benoit Audoubert and Orsola Tommasi. Mixed Hodge structures.
- [Del70] P. Deligne. *Équations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Réguliers*, volume 163 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1970.
- [PS08] Chris A.M. Peters and Joseph H.M. Steenbrink. *Mixed Hodge Structures*. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer Berlin, 2008.
- [Tim87] Klaus Timmerscheidt. Mixed Hodge theory for unitary local systems. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 1987:152 – 171, 1987.